# ORIGINAL PAPER

# Encapsulation of *Pannonibacter phragmitetus* LSSE-09 in alginate–carboxymethyl cellulose capsules for reduction of hexavalent chromium under alkaline conditions

Lin Xu · Mingfang Luo · Liangrong Yang · Xuetuan Wei · Xing Lin · Huizhou Liu

Received: 13 September 2010/Accepted: 24 February 2011/Published online: 27 March 2011 © Society for Industrial Microbiology 2011

Abstract Cr(VI) was efficiently reduced to Cr(III) by Pannonibacter phragmitetus LSSE-09 encapsulated in liquid-core alginate-carboxymethyl cellulose capsules under alkaline conditions. Taking into account the physical properties of the capsules, the activity of encapsulated cells, and total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant, optimal conditions (0.5% w/v sodium alginate; 2% w/v sodium carboxymethyl cellulose; 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>; 30-min gelation time) for LSSE-09 encapsulation were determined. At optimal conditions, a relatively high reduction rate of 4.20 mg  $g_{(dry weight)}^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup> was obtained. Total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant was significantly decreased after reduction, because 63.7% of the formed soluble organo-Cr(III) compounds compared with those of free cells were captured by the relatively smaller porous structure of alginate capsules. The optimal pH value (9.0) for Cr(VI) reduction was not changed after encapsulation. In addition, encapsulated LSSE-09 showed no appreciable loss in activity after eight repeated cycles at 37°C, and 85.7% of its initial activity remained after 35-day storage at 4°C. The results suggest that encapsulated LSSE-09 in alginate-carboxymethyl cellulose capsules has potential

L. Xu · L. Yang · X. Wei · H. Liu (⊠) Key Laboratory of Green Process and Engineering, Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 1 Bei Er Tiao, Zhong Guan Cun, Haidian District, Beijing 100190, China e-mail: hzliu@home.ipe.ac.cn

L. Xu · L. Yang · X. Wei · H. Liu National Key Laboratory of Biochemical Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

L. Xu · M. Luo · X. Wei · X. Lin Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China biotechnological applications for the detoxification of Cr(VI)-contaminated wastewater.

**Keywords** Cr(VI) reduction · Encapsulation · Reduction rate · Total Cr(III) concentration · *Pannonibacter phragmitetus* 

# Introduction

Chromium is widely used in electroplating, leather tanning, water cooling, metal finishing, and wood preservation [2, 30]. The effluents from these industries contain both hexavalent chromium, Cr(VI), and trivalent chromium, Cr(III). It is important to note that Cr(VI) is toxic to both plants and animals but Cr(III) exhibits only a little toxicity [11]. The most common approach for Cr(VI) removal is through reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III), followed by hydroxide precipitation of Cr(III) under alkaline conditions. Membrane separation and adsorption technology are also conventional methods to remove Cr(VI) [30]. However, these processes have been considered undesirable because of the high cost of chemicals used for Cr(VI) reduction, poor removal efficiency, and the production of large amounts of chemical sludge [20]. The development of suitable methods for detoxification of Cr(VI)-containing wastewaters has attracted worldwide attention recently.

Microbial reduction of the toxic Cr(VI) to the less toxic Cr(III) represents a promising detoxification process which is efficient and cost-effective [37]. The bioconversion of Cr(VI) by free bacterial cells has been widely investigated [6, 14, 23, 36]. However, the free cells suffer from Cr(VI) toxicity, which may cause cell damage and loss of activity [29]. Immobilizing techniques can enhance the stability and mechanical strength of the biocatalyst, and facilitate its

recovery and reuse [9, 31, 39]. Industrial application of microbial reduction requires efficient methods to immobilize the cells, yielding a biocatalyst with high activity and stability compared with free cells. Among various immobilizing techniques, calcium alginate-gel entrapment has been widely used because its gelling process can be easily performed under mild conditions and alginate itself is inert and nontoxic [12, 26, 27].

Some studies have reported Cr(VI) reduction with immobilized bacterial species [16, 18, 29]. But entrapping cells in gel beads results in a decrease of biocatalytic activity caused by diffusional limitations and steric hindrance [22], a decrease of polymeric matrix strength caused by the increase of cell density, and cell leakage caused by gel surface breakage [19]. Encapsulation techniques using alginate gels as a semipermeable membrane to entrap cells within a liquid core were developed to overcome these disadvantages [10, 27]. Alginate capsules can be prepared by the interfacial gelation process with the addition of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) as a thickening agent to maintain the spherical shape of the liquid droplets [17]. Alginate is cross-linked and thus solidified with the outward diffusion of divalent metallic ions ( $Ca^{2+}$  or  $Ba^{2+}$ ) from the inner liquid core. To date, a number of cells have been successfully encapsulated in alginate capsules with high activity retention and enhanced stability [7, 10, 15, 19].

However, to our knowledge, a study on the reduction of Cr(VI) with encapsulated whole cells has not been reported. Additionally, several research groups have reported that significant amounts of soluble organo-Cr(III) compounds remained in the supernatant of bacterial cultures and did not precipitate after Cr(VI) reduction [8, 25, 34, 40], even though the reduced Cr(III) is thought to be insoluble  $Cr(OH)_3$  when the pH ranges from 7 to 10 [33]. This problem restrained the biotechnological application of bacterial Cr(VI) reduction because the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the USA has set a maximum contaminant level of 50  $\mu$ g l<sup>-1</sup> for total chromium (including Cr(VI) and Cr(III)) in drinking water [1]. Pannonibacter phragmitetus LSSE-09 was previously isolated from industrial sludge. It shows a strong potential to intracellularly reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) aerobically and anaerobically with acetate as an electron donor under alkaline conditions [40]. The present study was conducted to determine the capability of live cells of strain LSSE-09 encapsulated in alginate capsules to reduce Cr(VI) and remove soluble organo-Cr(III) compounds. It is the first to evaluate the encapsulation of bacteria cells for Cr(VI) reduction along with organo-Cr(III) removal. The optimal encapsulation conditions were determined on the basis of the physical properties of alginate capsules, the encapsulated cell activity, and total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant after reduction.

#### Materials and methods

#### Materials

All chemicals used in this study including potassium dichromate ( $K_2Cr_2O_7$ , analytical reagent grade), anhydrous calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>, analytical reagent grade), sodium chloride (NaCl, analytical reagent grade), tryptone, yeast extract, sodium alginate (SA), and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Regent Beijing Co., Ltd.

#### Preparation of LSSE-09-containing alginate capsules

The Cr(VI)-reducing strain LSSE-09 was isolated from industrial sludge of a chromate factory in Henan province, China [40]. This strain was inoculated into 250-ml flasks containing 100 ml pH 7.0 Luria–Bertani medium (10 g tryptone, 10 g NaCl, 5 g yeast extract, and 1 l deionized water) and cultured aerobically at 37°C and 150 rpm for 12 h. Strain LSSE-09 was harvested by centrifugation (4,000*g*) for 15 min at 4°C, washed twice with deionized water, and then suspended in 50 mM pH 7.2 Tris–HCl buffer. Tris–HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2) was used as a universal solvent to dissolve all the chemicals.

Encapsulation of strain LSSE-09 was performed via an extrusion process followed by interfacial gelation [3]. To maintain the spherical shape of the capsules, CMC was used as a thickening agent and dissolved in CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution of different concentrations (0.05, 0.10, 0.20, and 0.30 M) to give a final content of 2% w/v. Strain LSSE-09 was then added to the CMC-CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution to obtain a cationic mixture with a bacteria content of 2.0 g  $l^{-1}$ . The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Two milliliters of the aforementioned CMC-CaCl<sub>2</sub>-(LSSE-09) solution was extracted into a syringe and extruded through an injection needle (inner diameter 0.45 mm) into 100 ml of SA solution (0.5% w/v), with a fixed distance of 20 cm from the needle head to the surface of SA solution. The following steps were conducted as described by Jiang and co-workers with minor modification [17]. The SA solution was stirred during the whole gelation process to facilitate mass transfer and to prevent the capsules from sticking together. After a specific gelation time (10–120 min), the mixture was poured into 200 ml of deionized water and stirred gently for 5 s before filtering through a piece of gauze. The unreacted SA on the surface of capsules was removed with 10 ml of Tris-HCl buffer. To reinforce the alginate membrane, the capsules were transferred into CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution with the same concentration as that in the liquid drops and allowed to further cross-link for 10 min. Finally, the capsules were stored in Tris-HCl (50 mM, pH 7.2) buffer at 4°C.

Characterization of LSSE-09-containing capsules

#### Diameter and membrane thickness

The external diameter of the alginate capsules was measured by using a calibration micrometer. For membrane thickness measurement, capsule samples were cut in half and the thickness was measured under a polarizing microscope (Leica, DM LM/P). Values for two perpendicular diameters and four membrane thicknesses at positions 90° apart were measured for each capsule. Average diameter and average membrane thickness were obtained by analysis of 10 randomly selected capsules from each capsule batch.

# Morphology

The micromorphology of the capsules was observed and measured by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JSM 6700F, Jeol) after lyophilization.

### Microbial reduction of Cr(VI)

Bioconversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) under anaerobic conditions by either free or encapsulated LSSE-09 with a dry cell weight of 0.2 g  $1^{-1}$  was carried out and compared. The reaction kinetics and cell activity were studied by measuring the amount of Cr(VI) and total Cr(III) in the supernatant.

LSSE-09-containing alginate capsules and alginate beads were introduced into 50-ml glass bottles containing 20 ml of 50 mg  $1^{-1}$  Cr(VI) and 3,000 mg  $1^{-1}$  acetate, both dissolved in Tris–HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 9.0). Acetate was used as an external electron donor and was essential to improve the reduction rate [40]. Each bottle was sparged with nitrogen for 3 min, introduced via a syringe needle through the self-sealing rubber septum. Air displacement was achieved by inserting another syringe needle as the outlet. Cr(VI) reduction experiments were performed at 37°C with shaking at a speed of 150 rpm. At different time intervals, aliquots of solution were withdrawn using a syringe and centrifuged at 12,000g for 5 min. The supernatants were analyzed for residual Cr(VI) and total Cr(III) concentrations.

In addition, a comparative study on the activity of free and encapsulated LSSE-09 with reaction pH ranging from 7 to 10 and 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Cr(VI) was also performed. The Michaelis constant ( $K_m$ ) and the maximum reaction rate ( $V_{max}$ ) were determined by varying the substrate concentration from 20 to 60 mg l<sup>-1</sup> at pH 9.0. In this experiment, all tested Cr(VI) could be completely reduced to Cr(III) by encapsulated LSSE-09. The kinetic constants were calculated by fitting the initial rate data to

a double-reciprocal Lineweaver–Burk plot of 1/V [mg min<sup>-1</sup> g cells<sup>-1</sup>] versus 1/[Cr(VI)] (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) derived from a linear transformation of the Michaelis–Menten equation [24].

The analysis of Cr(VI) in aqueous samples was performed using a UV–VIS spectrophotometer (UNICO7200, USA) at 540 nm after complexation with 1,5-diphenylcarbazide [13]. Total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant was measured by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer Optima 7000DV, USA).

# Storage stability

Free and encapsulated LSSE-09 were stored at 4°C for a certain period of time. The storage stability was compared by storage efficiency defined as the ratio of free or encapsulated Cr(VI) reduction rate after storage to their initial rate.

Storage efficiency (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Cr(VI)-reduction rate after storage}}{\text{initial Cr(VI) reduction rate}} \times 100$$
 (1)

The Cr(VI) reduction rate (TCR) is given by

$$\mathrm{TCR} = \frac{C_0 - C_\mathrm{f}}{0.2t} \tag{2}$$

where TCR is the Cr(VI) reduction rate (mg  $g_{(dryweight)}^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_0$  is the initial Cr(VI) concentration (mg  $1^{-1}$ ), 0.2 is the dry cell weight (g  $1^{-1}$ ),  $C_f$  is the final Cr(VI) concentration (mg  $1^{-1}$ ), and *t* is the Cr(VI) reduction time (min).

#### Recycling stability

Encapsulated LSSE-09 with a dry cell weight of 0.2 g  $l^{-1}$  was tested repeatedly in a reaction mixture containing 50 mg  $l^{-1}$  Cr(VI) and 3,000 mg  $l^{-1}$  acetate under anaerobic conditions, both dissolved in Tris–HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 9.0). At the end of each batch, the coated cells were collected by filtration, rinsed with Tris–HCl buffer, and then added to the next reaction cycle. The recycling stabilities of encapsulated LSSE-09 were explored by measuring the reduction rate in each successive reaction cycle and expressed by recycling efficiency.

$$= \frac{\text{Cr(VI)-reduction rate in the nth cycle}}{\text{Cr(VI)-reduction rate in the 1st cycle}} \times 100$$
 (3)

Total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant was measured at the end of each batch.

#### **Results and discussion**

Formation and characterization of LSSE-09-containing alginate capsules

#### Morphology

Figure 1 shows representative images of encapsulated LSSE-09 in SA capsules. It clearly reveals a homogeneous population in respect to the capsule size of the entire batch. CMC was used as a nongelling polymer to modulate the viscosity and density of cationic solutions [3]. The sphericity and uniformity of the capsules were satisfactory when CMC concentration was fixed at 2.0% w/v [17]. Spherical capsules with an external diameter of ca. 3 mm were obtained when the concentration of SA used to prepare capsules was 0.5%. Figure 1b shows the image of LSSE-09-containing capsules suspended in Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2). The uniformity of capsules was demonstrated by visualization of membrane thickness. The alginate capsules had relatively thin alginate-gel membranes compared with the size of the capsules. As shown in Fig. 1c, some bacterial cells settled to the bottom of the capsule core after 7 days' storage. A similar result was observed for encapsulation of Nocardia tartaricans in SA-CS/PMCG capsules [7]. The capsule comprised an alginate membrane shell and a CMC liquid core, and most bacteria were confined to the liquid core which was imagined to be like "fishes in a bag" [17]. For these reasons, some bacteria could precipitate as a result of gravity during the storage. Figure 2 shows the micromorphology of the alginate membrane of the capsules observed by SEM. After lyophilization, the whole capsule retained good sphericity with a hollow inner space, and the internal surface of the SA membrane was filled with immobilized bacteria.

As described in Sect. "Preparation of LSSE-09-containing alginate capsules," the capsules were prepared by a capsule formation stage and a reinforcement stage. During the capsule formation stage, the cross-linking of the interfacial alginate molecules with Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions was initiated instantaneously when CaCl2-CMC-(LSSE-09) solution was added dropwise into the alginate solution. It was mainly  $Ca^{2+}$  ions that diffused between the alginate chains, binding preferentially to guluronic acid blocks (G-blocks) of the alginate [35]. Thus, with the outward diffusion of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions from the inside core, an external membrane around the droplet formed. Along the diffusion direction, the concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions reduced because of the ionic cross-linking. The concentration gradient of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions between the core and the outside solution favored the diffusion of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions from the core to the outside, resulting in an inhomogeneous dense-to-loose structure. At the reinforcement stage, the outer surface of the SA membrane



**Fig. 1** LSSE-09-containing capsules (CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration 0.3 M): **a** fresh capsules; **b** fresh capsules suspended in Tris–HCl buffer; **c** capsules suspended in Tris–HCl buffer after 7 days' storage

formed by further cross-linking between the free G-blocks and  $Ca^{2+}$  ions. Finally, the capsule with a CMC liquid core and porous alginate membrane formed [3, 4, 17, 21].

#### Gelation conditions

The effect of CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration on capsule formation kinetics was studied by fixing the anionic solution at 0.5% w/v sodium alginate. The growth of membrane thickness with gelation time at different CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations is shown in Fig. 3. The membrane thickness increased rapidly within the first 30 min and then leveled off at its maximum value, indicating complete consumption of calcium for the cross-linking of alginate. On increasing Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions concentration, the membrane thickness increased at the same



Fig. 2 SEM photographs of the capsule:  $\mathbf{a}$  the whole capsule;  $\mathbf{b}$  the internal surface of the capsule

gelation time because there were more cross-linkable sites per unit solution volume for Ca–alginate gelation. The membrane thickness increased continuously until complete consumption of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions contained in the capsule core [3]. The final membrane thickness increased from 0.035 to 0.280 mm as the CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 0.05 to 0.30 M. It should be mentioned that there was no significant increase in membrane thickness when SA concentration increased from 0.5 to 1.0% [17].

### Microbial reduction of Cr(VI) and cell activity

### Effect of gelation time on reduction rate

LSSE-09 cells were physically entrapped in SA capsules, and the liquid core provided the cells inside sufficient space to move, resulting in a much easier accessibility and contact between cells and substrate compared with those of calcium alginate-gel entrapments. A previous study



Fig. 3 Growth of membrane thickness with gelation time at different CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations (0.5% w/v SA)

showed that strain LSSE-09 could reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) by the action of an intracellular reductase [40]. This enzyme-mediated Cr(VI) reduction process consists of four successive stages: diffusion of Cr(VI) ions from the outside into the liquid core of the capsules, uptake of Cr(VI) by strain LSSE-09, reduction by the intracellular reductase, and extracellular extrusion. The first two stages were significantly influenced by diffusion resistance which controlled the reduction rate.

With the increase of gelation time, the membrane thickness increased, leading to the increase of additional diffusion resistance and lower reduction activity. As shown in Fig. 4, the reduction process was monitored by measuring the reduction rate [by Eq. (2)] at a given gelation time. Cr(VI) could be completely reduced to Cr(III) by all encapsulated LSSE-09 but the reduction rate using encapsulated LSSE-09 was lower than that using free LSSE-09. Within the first 30 min, all tested reduction rates decreased



Fig. 4 Reduction rate with gelation time at different  $CaCl_2$  concentrations

because of the rapidly increased membrane thickness. From 60 to 120 min, the reduction rate fell only slightly to reach a lower level, which could be attributed to the complete formation of SA membranes (Fig. 3).

Furthermore, it was observed that the higher the concentration of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, the thicker the capsule membranes and thus the lower the resulting reduction rate. Reduction rate with 0.05 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> was higher than with the other concentrations tested. When the gelation time was 30 min, reduction rates were 4.59 mg  $g_{(dry weight)}^{-1}$  for 0.05 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 4.20 mg g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> for 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, respectively, which were 75.4 and 69.0% compared with that of free cells, respectively. The lowest reduction rate was 2.32 mg g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>at 30 min when the CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration was 0.3 M.

# *Effect of gelation time on total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant*

As described in Sect. "Morphology," each LSSE-09-containing capsule comprised a CMC liquid core and porous alginate membrane. To date, a number of investigations have been devoted to characterizing the pore size of Caalginate membranes. Because of the strengthened blocking effect of the membrane, it was found that some enzymes with different molecular weights ranging from 152 to 290 kDa were efficiently encapsulated in alginate capsules without significant leakage [4, 5, 17, 38]. As previously indicated, considerable soluble Cr(III) end-products which were deduced to be soluble organo-Cr(III) compounds were found in the supernatant after reduction [32, 40]. In this experiment, total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant after reduction was investigated to establish whether the formed organo-Cr(III) compounds could be confined to the capsules or not, even though their molecular weights and species were not clear.

As shown in Fig. 5, for free cells, there was 42.47 mg  $l^{-1}$ Cr(III) in the supernatant after reduction. Compared with the initial Cr(VI) concentration, as much as 87.2% of the total Cr which formed soluble organo-Cr(III) compounds was retained in the supernatant. Surprisingly, for capsules which contained LSSE-09, there was a significant decrease of Cr(III) in the supernatant because some organo-Cr(III) compounds could be captured by the relatively smaller porous structure of alginate membranes. Total Cr(III) decreased significantly within the first 30 min because of the rapidly increased membrane thickness. Total Cr(III) concentrations were 22.99 mg  $l^{-1}$  for 0.05 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 15.41 mg  $l^{-1}$  for 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> at the gelation time of 30 min. As much as 45.9 and 63.7% of Cr(III) compared with that of free cells were retained inside the alginate capsules. Moreover, the higher the concentration of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, the denser and thicker the membrane for organo-Cr(III) compounds



**Fig. 5** Total Cr(III) in the supernatant with gelation time at different CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations

entrapment, and thus the lower the resulting Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant. For higher concentrations of  $CaCl_2$  such as 0.2 and 0.3 M CaCl\_2 at the same gelation time, 79.6 and 80.8% of total Cr(III) were captured, respectively, indicating that the capsules prepared with 0.2 and 0.3 M  $CaCl_2$  which had similar porous structure could not capture all organo-Cr(III) compounds. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported removal of soluble organo-Cr(III)compounds along with Cr(VI) reduction by alginate capsules. Even though the Cr(III) concentration remaining in the supernatant was still higher than the EPA's maximum stipulated level, this method showed great progress to decrease total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant.

In addition, this method showed higher activity than other gel entrapment methods which used spherical gel beads with the same diameter (3 mm) as ours. A polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)-based immobilization technique was utilized for entrapping the Microbacterium liquefaciens MP30 for use in Cr(VI) reduction, but the chromate reducing activity of PVA-borate and PVA-alginate cell beads was only 50% of that of free cells as a result of higher mass transfer limitations [29]. Humphries and co-workers [16] developed agar and agarose cell beads for the immobilization of Desulfovibrio vulgaris NCIMB 8303 and Microbacterium sp. NCIMB 13776. The obtained beads showed similar initial reduction rates compared with those of free cells, but the beads showed much lower reduction rates than encapsulated LSSE-09 capsules, as well as weaker stability.

To determine the final optimal conditions for LSSE-09 encapsulation, the physical properties, the reduction rate, and total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant should be taken into account. When the gelation time was 30 min, the membrane thickness increased to its maximum value and total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant reached a lower level without obvious decrease. The highest reduction rate (5.27 mg g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved at 0.05 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. However, the capsules prepared at 0.10 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> showed lower Cr(III) concentration and superior physical properties while also possessing an acceptable reduction rate (4.81 mg g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, the LSSE-09-containing alginate capsules prepared with 0.10 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and a 30-min gelation time were suggested as the optimal ones. The following further studies were carried out with capsules prepared under these conditions.

# Effect of pH on cell activity

A comparative study on activity of free and encapsulated LSSE-09 with reaction pH value was performed. Their respective specific activity at optimal pH value was taken as 100%. pH is one of the most important factors influencing protein structure and the solution chemistry of the insoluble support. Protein–support interaction and surface properties of a protein are strongly influenced by the pH [28]. In this study, the activities of free and encapsulated LSSE-09 were significantly affected by pH values because Cr(VI) reduction was mediated by an intracellular enzyme.

As shown in Fig. 6, the optimal pH value (9.0) of both free and immobilized LSSE-09 was unchanged after encapsulation. The result indicated that the encapsulation carrier did not cause deactivation of the cells or intracellular enzyme inside. A similar result was observed for  $\alpha$ -galactosidase immobilized on galactose-containing polymeric beads [28]. The pH stability was significantly enhanced by encapsulation. The activity was 57.6% at pH 10.0 compared with that at optimal pH (9.0), whereas the encapsulated LSSE-09 could retain 73.9% relative activity at the same pH value.

#### Cr(VI) reduction by free or encapsulated LSSE-09

Cr(VI) reduction by either free or encapsulated LSSE-09 was conducted in a sealed glass bottle under anaerobic conditions and a small amount of acetate was used as an electron donor to stimulate the reduction rate.

As shown in Fig. 7, the bioconversion process was monitored by measuring the remaining Cr(VI) in the supernatant as a function of time. Because of the increase of additional diffusion resistance, reduction rates using encapsulated cells were lower than those using free cells. Free cells of strain LSSE-09 completely reduced 50 mg  $1^{-1}$  Cr(VI) at pH 9.0 after incubating for 40 min, compared with 60 min for encapsulated cells.

#### Kinetic parameters for free or encapsulated LSSE-09

Anaerobic  $K_{\rm m}$  and  $V_{\rm max}$  values for the bioconversion reaction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) by intact cells were



Fig. 6 Effects of pH on activity of free and encapsulated LSSE-09



Fig. 7 Cr(VI) reduction capacity with reaction time

determined. The estimation of the specific  $K_{\rm m}$  and  $V_{\rm max}$  for the nonspecific rate constants for whole cell reduction is shown in Fig. 8.

For Cr(VI) reduction by free cells of LSSE-09, the nonspecific half-saturation or Michaelis–Menten constant  $(K_{\rm m})$  was estimated to be 44.44 mg l<sup>-1</sup> and the maximum nonspecific reduction rate or maximum velocity  $(V_{\rm max})$  was estimated to be 11.25 mg of Cr min<sup>-1</sup> g of cells<sup>-1</sup>. For encapsulated LSSE-09,  $K_{\rm m}$  and  $V_{\rm max}$  were estimated to be 63.64 mg l<sup>-1</sup> and 9.19 mg of Cr min<sup>-1</sup> g of cells<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. After encapsulation, the maximum reaction rate  $V_{\rm max}$  was lowered, which was due to the additional diffusion resistance to the substrate Cr(VI) caused by the alginate membrane and the viscous CMC liquid core [17]. The increase in  $K_{\rm m}$  after encapsulation indicated a weaker binding between the substrate Cr(VI) molecules and the encapsulated LSSE-09.



Fig. 8 Typical Lineweaver–Burk plots for free and encapsulated LSSE-09 (pH 9.0, 37°C)

#### Storage stability

The storage stabilities of free and encapsulated LSSE-09 are compared in Fig. 9. The activity of free LSSE-09 slowly decreased in the first 14 days of storage and to 83.3% of its original activity after 21 days of storage, then continued to fall thereafter. After 35 days of storage, the storage efficiency of free LSSE-09 reduced to 71.4%. In contrast, the storage stability of strain LSSE-09 was significantly improved after encapsulation. There was no obvious loss in activity during the first 14 days, and the remaining activity was kept as high as 85.7% of its initial activity in 35 days.

The encapsulated LSSE-09 has a distinct advantage over free cells in long-term storage. Because the LSSE-09containing alginate capsule has a liquid core–membrane structure, which could provide a biomimetic microenvironment for those encapsulated LSSE-09 cells, the



Fig. 9 Storage stability of free and encapsulated LSSE-09



Fig. 10 Recycling stability of encapsulated LSSE-09: a recycling efficiency of encapsulated LSSE-09; b total Cr(III) in the supernatant after each batch

biocompatible alginate membrane effectively prevents any unfavorable influence from the outside storage environment [17]. Additionally, because Cr(VI) reduction was enzyme-mediated, some enzyme arising from cell decay could possibly be captured in the capsules and could reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III).

Recycling stability

Cr(VI) was completely reduced by strain LSSE-09 in each batch. The reuse capability of encapsulated LSSE-09 and total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant after each batch are illustrated in Fig. 10a. There was no loss in activity during first three cycles, and the activity decreased slowly from the fourth to eighth batch; 22.67% of the initial activity was lost after the eighth batch. The results suggested that the encapsulated LSSE-09 could be repeatedly used and retained high specific Cr(VI) reduction activity. Such reusability could significantly reduce the operation costs in industrial applications.

Another trend should be noticed: Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant was originally 15.41 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, but it increased to 32.50 and 40.76 mg l<sup>-1</sup> after the second and third batch cycles, respectively (Fig. 10b). After that, it reached a plateau with only a minor increase, which could be attributed to the saturation of captured organo-Cr(III) compounds relative to the porous structure in whole capsules. This system shows great promise for the detoxification of Cr(VI)-contaminated wastewater. The regeneration of the porous structure of the capsules is under further investigation.

#### Conclusions

Cr(VI) was efficiently reduced to Cr(III) by P. phragmitetus LSSE-09 encapsulated in liquid-core alginate-carboxymethyl cellulose capsules. The membrane thickness, the encapsulated reduction rate, and total Cr(III) concentration in the supernatant were significantly affected by CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration and gelation time. 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 30-min gelation time were selected as optimal conditions to prepare LSSE-09-containing alginate capsules and a relatively high reduction rate of 4.20 mg  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup> was obtained. As much as 63.7% of the formed soluble organo-Cr(III) end-products compared with those of free cells were captured by the relatively smaller porous structure after reduction. The encapsulated LSSE-09, which had the same optimal pH for cell activity as that in the free form, was stable for eight repeated cycles without any appreciable loss in activity. In addition, the encapsulated LSSE-09 exhibited improved storage stability compared with free LSSE-09, and 85.7% of the initial activity was preserved after 35-day storage at 4°C. Encapsulated LSSE-09 therefore has great advantages over its free form and has potential biotechnological applications in the detoxification of Cr(VI)-contaminated wastewater.

**Acknowledgments** This work was financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2007CB613507).

### References

- Baral A, Engelken RD (2002) Chromium-based regulations and greening in metal finishing industries in the USA. Environ Sci Policy 5:121–133
- Barnhart J (1997) Occurrences, uses, and proprties of chromium. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 26:S3–S7
- Blandino A, Macías M, Cantero D (1999) Formation of calcium alginate gel capsules: influence of sodium alginate and CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration on gelation kinetics. J Biosci Bioeng 88:686–689
- Blandino A, Macías M, Cantero D (2000) Glucose oxidase release from calcium alginate gel capsules. Enzyme Microb Technol 27:319–324

- Blandino A, Macías M, Cantero D (2001) Immobilization of glucose oxidase within calcium alginate gel capsules. Process Biochem 36:601–606
- Bopp LH, Ehrlich HL (1988) Chromate resistance and reduction in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain LB300. Arch Microbiol 150:426–431
- Bůcko M, Vikartovská A, Lacík I, Kolláriková G, Gemeiner P, Pätoprstý V, Brygin M (2005) Immobilization of a whole-cell epoxide-hydrolyzing biocatalyst in sodium alginate-cellulose sulfate-poly (methylene-co-guanidine) capsules using a controlled encapsulation process. Enzyme Microb Technol 36:118–126
- Campos J, Martinez-Pacheco M, Cervantes C (1995) Hexavalentchromium reduction by a chromate-resistant *Bacillus* sp. strain. Anton Van Lee 68:203–208
- Cassidy MB, Lee H, Trevors JT (1996) Environmental applications of immobilized microbial cells: a review. J Ind Microbiol 16:79–101
- Cheong SH, Park JK, Kim BS, Chang HN (1993) Microencapsulation of yeast cells in the calcium alginate membrane. Biotechnol Tech 7:879–884
- Costa M (2003) Potential hazards of hexavalent chromate in our drinking water. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 188:1–5
- Goosen MFA (1993) Biomedical application of immobilised cells. In: Goosen MFA (ed) Fundamentals of animal cell encapsulation and immobilization. CRC, Boca Raton
- 13. Greenberg AE, Trussell RR, Clesceri LS (1985) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. APHA, New York
- He Z, Gao F, Sha T, Hu Y, He C (2009) Isolation and characterization of a Cr(VI)-reduction *Ochrobactrum* sp. strain CSCr-3 from chromium landfill. J Hazard Mater 163:869–873
- 15. Hucík M, Bučko M, Gemeiner P, Štefuca V, Vikartovská A, Mihovilovič M, Rudroff F, Iqbal N, Chorvát D, Lacík I (2010) Encapsulation of recombinant *E. coli* expressing cyclopentanone monooxygenase in polyelectrolyte complex capsules for Baeyer– Villiger biooxidation of 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-en-3-one. Biotechnol Lett 32:675–680
- Humphries AC, Nott KP, Hall LD, Macaskie LE (2005) Reduction of Cr(VI) by immobilized cells of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* NCIMB 8303 and *Microbacterium* sp. NCIMB 13776. Biotechnol Bioeng 90:589–596
- 17. Jiang Z, Zhang Y, Li J, Jiang W, Yang D, Wu H (2007) Encapsulation of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase in biomimetic alginate capsules for bioconversion of baicalin to baicalein. Ind Eng Chem Res 46:1883–1890
- Kathiravan MN, Karthiga Rani R, Karthick R, Muthukumar K (2010) Mass transfer studies on the reduction of Cr(VI) using calcium alginate immobilized *Bacillus* sp. in packed bed reactor. Bioresour Technol 101:853–858
- Koyama K, Seki M (2004) Cultivation of yeast and plant cells entrapped in the low-viscous liquid-core of an alginate membrane capsule prepared using polyethylene glycol. J Biosci Bioeng 97:111–118
- Kratochvil D, Pimentel P, Volesky B (1998) Removal of trivalent and hexavalent chromium by seaweed biosorbent. Environ Sci Technol 32:2693–2698
- Kuo CK, Ma PX (2001) Ionically crosslinked alginate hydrogels as scaffolds for tissue engineering: Part 1. Structure, gelation rate and mechanical properties. Biomaterials 22:511–521
- Li Y, Xing J, Xiong X, Li W, Gao H, Liu H (2008) Improvement of biodesulfurization activity of alginate immobilized cells in biphasic systems. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 35:145–150
- Llovera S, Bonet R, Simon-Pujol MD, Congregado F (1993) Chromate reduction by resting cells of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* EPS-916. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:3516–3518

- 24. McLean J, Beveridge TJ (2001) Chromate reduction by a *Pseudomonad* isolated from a site contaminated with chromated copper arsenate. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:1076–1084
- 25. Middleton SS, Latmani RB, Mackey MR, Ellisman MH, Tebo BM, Criddle CS (2003) Cometabolism of Cr(VI) by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 produces cell-associated reduced chromium and inhibits growth. Biotechnol Bioeng 83:627–637
- 26. Moreno-Garrido I (2008) Microalgae immobilization: current techniques and uses. Bioresour Technol 99:3949–3964
- Nigam SC, Tsao IF, Sakoda A, Wang HY (1988) Techniques for preparing hydrogel membrane capsules. Biotechnol Tech 2:271–276
- 28. Okutucu B, Çelem EB, Önal S (2010) Immobilization of  $\alpha$ -galactosidase on galactose-containing polymeric beads. Enzyme Microb Technol 46:200–205
- Pattanapipitpaisal P, Brown NL, Macaskie LE (2001) Chromate reduction by *Microbacterium liquefaciens* immobilised in polyvinyl alcohol. Biotechnol Lett 23:61–65
- Patterson JW (1975) Wastewater treatment technology. Ann Arbor Science, New York
- 31. Peng Q, Liu Y, Zeng G, Xu W, Yang C, Zhang J (2010) Biosorption of copper (II) by immobilizing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on the surface of chitosan-coated magnetic nanoparticles from aqueous solution. J Hazard Mater 177:676–682
- 32. Puzon GJ, Roberts AG, Kramer DM, Xun L (2005) Formation of soluble organo-chromium(III) complexes after chromate

reduction in the presence of cellular organics. Environ Sci Technol 39:2811–2817

- Rai D, Sass BM, Moore DA (1987) Chromium(III) hydrolysis constants and solubility of chromium(III) hydroxide. Inorg Chem 26:345–349
- Shen H, Wang YT (1993) Characterization of enzymatic reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Escherichia coli* ATCC 33456. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:3771–3777
- SmidsrØd O, Skjåk-Bræk G (1990) Alginate as immobilization matrix for cells. Trends Biotechnol 8:71–78
- 36. Srivastava S, Thakur I (2007) Evaluation of biosorption potency of *Acinetobacter* sp. for removal of hexavalent chromium from tannery effluent. Biodegradation 18:637–646
- Sultan S, Hasnain S (2007) Reduction of toxic hexavalent chromium by *Ochrobactrum intermedium* strain SDCr-5 stimulated by heavy metals. Bioresour Technol 98:340–344
- Tanriseven A, Dogan S (2001) Immobilization of invertase within calcium alginate gel capsules. Process Biochem 36:1081–1083
- Wang X, Gai Z, Yu B, Feng J, Xu C, Yuan Y, Lin Z, Xu P (2007) Degradation of carbazole by microbial cells immobilized in magnetic gellan gum gel beads. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6421–6428
- 40. Xu L, Luo M, Li W, Wei X, Xie K, Liu L, Jiang C, Liu H (2011) Reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Pannonibacter phragmitetus* LSSE-09 stimulated with external electron donors under alkaline conditions. J Hazard Mater 185:1169–1176